

SECRET

19 February 1958

TO: EE/G/U
FROM: C/CI/EGD
SUBJECT: Comments on the Ludwig Albert Case
REF: EGMA-30725, 19 December 1957

Attached are comments on reference by in response
to your request.

Attachment (4 copies)

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2007

SECRET

SECRET

SUBJECT: The Ludwig-Albert Case - Comments on EGMA-30725, 19 December 1957

1. The following comments refer to the individual items forwarded under reference as attachments, in the order in which they occur (from top down):

a. The first 3 items are unknown to me; they stem from a period after I had detached myself from this operation, and I did not see most of the material from about May on. I was called back in briefly upon Albert's suicide, but did not review the reporting of the intervening period.

b. Item 4 appears to be complete.

c. I do not think Item 5 involved Albert - it looks like a Schmitz report, possibly embellished with some minor details from Albert.

d. Item 6 appears to be full and complete.

e. If I recall correctly, the last paragraph of item 7 stems from a letter Albert wrote to Schmitz (I could be wrong on this).

f. I think item 8 is mostly Schmitz, except for the first part of the first paragraph.

g. Item 9 seems to be complete.

h. Item 10 appears to be complete. The only editing done was the deletion of source's name (L.A.) and sterilization of a couple of operational supdata details. This we did at our place, when the reports were prepared from Schmitz' reports, and the supdata was included in a separate cover sheet which you would never get out of CIC.

i. Item 11 appears to be complete. I can not comment on your marginal note about the date, but we got this report after the Ludwigsburg affair had been running for a while (i.e. not right away), and this was not the first report received from Schmitz on the Marlene case. This report, by the way, falls in the period before L.A. cried on Schmitz' shoulder, starting the reporting phase on the G.O., unless I am badly mistaken chronologically.

2. This is not the full crop of L.A. reports. There seem to be several missing, but I don't know how I could identify which ones so that they could be asked for. There were several dealing (progressively) with personality reporting on G.O. people and those aspects

SECRET

SECRET

about which L.A. was allegedly concerned security-wise. On the other hand, the reports do not seem to have been edited by CIC or by G-2 for passing to you (although I do not claim I can remember all details): The only editing which seems to have been made was what we did in our reporting from Frankfurt to Stuttgart in the original transmission, i.e. elimination of ops data and items that would pinpoint the source. The main thing that is not here is the first report on Schmitz' session with L.A. in which the latter poured out his troubles (the start of the whole thing).

-2-

SECRET